

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/476,622	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> William M. Treat	<b>Art Unit</b> 2181	

**All Participants:**

(1) William M. Treat.

(2) Chui-kiu Teresa Wong.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner asked if a reply had been filed to his action of 1/20/2006 and was told that no reply had been filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)